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## Importance of oral proficiency in fareign language learning and teaching

Comparable priorities for both teachers and students:

- Being able to speak the language highlighted as the most salient aspect of communicative competence (Andersen \& Blach, 2010; Fernàndez, 2009)
- And intuitively as the most important part of mastering the language (Ur, 1996)
- The ability of speaking and listening the language rated by teachers and learners as the most important objectives of language learning and teaching (Fernàndez, 2009; Andersen \& Blach, 2010)
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## Importance of oral proficiency in foreign language learning and teaching

«More talk where we do not just briefly answer questions. Longer sentences and conversations. I miss talking more, so that it is not just doing grammar, reading and translating. I miss having more conversations in French (...) I think that we have too much writing and not enough conversation. I would like more conversation in groups in class. More group work, so more students can speak a bit more.» (Andersen \& Blach, 2010, p. 154-155)

## Focus on oral proficiency in the classroom requires a wide perspective

- Several partial goals must be trained: accuracy, fluency, complexity, adequacy and intelligibility/comprehensibility.
- High demands on the teachers: must be skilled in phonetics (sounds, stress, intonation) and phonology, lexicology, syntax, pragamtics...(Andersen, Fernández, Fristrup, \& Henriksen, 2015)
- Teachers also need to develop skills in training and supporting learners in the use of adequate communication strategies (Griffith, 2013)
- It is also one of the most challenging activities for learners, as it engages them cognitively, socially and affectively (Burns, 2016)
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## LISE project

LISE is a large-scale video project that aims to gain new knowledge about naturally occurring classroom instruction over time

- Project design: Kirsti Klette
- Project leader: Lisbeth M Brevik
- Data collection round 1: 2015-17

SAMPLE: 7 lower secondary schools, 7 classrooms, 9th and 10th grade SUBJECTS: English, French, Math, Norwegian, Science, Social studies
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## Amount of time allocated to listening \& speaking vs reading and writting



## (II) Østfold University College

Amount of time allocated to promunciation instruction
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## The balance between target language (TL) and first language (LI) use

- TL-only approach (Chrichton, 2009; Polio \& Duff, 1994)
- Judicious use of L1:
- More than $50 \%$ is «cleary not acceptable» (Ellis and Shintani, 2014 p.233235)
- 10-15\% of L1 use could be reasonable (Macaro, 2005)
- Contextually framed language use recommendations (Edstrom, 2009; Ellis \& Shintani, 2014)
- People don't finish learning one language before learning another (Cook, 2001; Daugaard \& Dewilde, 2017)

Amounts of LI and TL use: French


Percentages (in relation to speaking time) of LI and TL use for each classroom (Vold \& Brkan, 2020)
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## Contexts of LI and TL use: French

- French was used for greetings, speaking exercices, vocabulary work and in individual or group tutoring
- Norwegian was used for written activities, grammar, vocabulary work and management purposes such as instructions, transitions, group organizations and behavioural management
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## Amaunts of LI and TL use: French vs English

In Norway, French (L3) is taught primarily in Norwegian (Vold \& Brkan, 2020),
$79 \%$ of time = Norwegian; 19\% of time = French; 2\% of time = Both
English (L2) is primarily taught in English (Brevik \& Rindal, 2020)
$77 \%$ of the time = English; 16\% of time = Norwegian; 7 \% of time = Both

- Context specific?
- Language specific?
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## Plurilingual resources and oral participation



Mundtlighed i
fremmedsprogsundervisningen

- Including students' full linguistic repertoires in the classroom


# What are plurilingual approaches? 

- Plurilingual repertoire
- Plurilingual affordances
"individuals making choices and interacting in specific contexts and situations, including those where their agency is constrained."
(Marshall and Moore 2018:22)
affordances for language awareness: linguistic and metalinguistic resources in the classroom whose presence invites these practices on the part of the students (Krogager Andersen, 2021)


## Plurilingual affordances

## Plurilingual approaches
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$\rightarrow$ Plurilingual approaches allow teachers to take into consideration students' plurilingual repertoires
$\rightarrow$ Development of linguistic identities
$\rightarrow$ Investment in language learning (Norton Peirce, 1995)

Current project: Plurilingual Education - Language Awareness
Across Educational Levels www.plurilinqualeducation.Ku.dk

Previous project:
Tværsproglighedens veje. Om
sproglig bevidsthed, tværsprolighed og didaktisk forandringsarbejde

## Why plurilingual approaches for oral participation?

T: yes, [student 1], does "jetzt kann
ich" remind you of anything?

S1: yes, 'I can'
T: Does it remind you of anything?
S 1: English and Danish
T: English?
S1: And Danish
T: Exactly, 'ich kann, du kannst, er si es kann'
S1: [privately] 'I can, you can, he she it cans'
(Krogager Andersen 2020)

## Prerequisites to oral participation

According to Evnitskaya \& Berger (2017), the student must be:

- Willing to communicate
- In a position to gain the turn
- In possession of the relevant linguistic resources to participate
$\rightarrow$ Frequently cited challenges to students' oral participation in the foreign language classroom include a lack of perceived relevance (Graham et al. 2016)
a lack of willingness to communicate

According to Shirvan et al. (2019), the primary predictor of students' willingness to communicate is their perceived competence.
$\rightarrow$ The metalinguistic advantage
$\rightarrow$ The cognitive advantage: connecting languages (Bilaystok, 2009)
$\rightarrow$ The communicative advantage: focusing more on content and less on form (Seedhouse, 2019)
$\rightarrow$ Evnitskaya \& Berger

## Benefits of plurilingual approaches教

$\rightarrow$ Most researchers agree that we need to use target language as much as possible

## Are target language use and plurilingual teaching conflicting notions?

$\rightarrow$ A foreign language classroom is a plurilingual setting par excellence since it involves at least two languages
$\rightarrow$ So how to balance the use of target language with the use of other languages?
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